## **ALTERNATIVE FORECASTS**

Global Insight has assigned a 60% probability of occurrence to its November 2004 baseline forecast of the U.S. economy. The major features of this forecast include:

- Real GDP increases 4.4% in 2004, 3.2% in 2005, 3.0% in 2006, 3.1% in 2007 and 3.1% in 2008:
- U.S. nonfarm employment grows 1.0% in 2004, 1.7% in 2005, 1.2% in 2006, and 0.8% in both 2007 and 2008;
- the U.S. civilian unemployment rate rises slightly over time;
- consumer inflation is 2.7% in 2004, 2.2% in 2005, 1.3% in 2006, and 1.7% in 2007, and 1.9% in 2008; and
- the federal unified budget deficit peaks at \$413 billion in 2004 then gradually declines to \$288 billion in 2008.

## **OPTIMISTIC SCENARIO**

The *Optimistic Scenario* has been assigned a 20% probability of occurrence. A few key assumptions distinguish the *Optimistic Scenario* from the baseline. In this *Scenario*, total factor productivity is higher than in the baseline. This helps the economy expand faster without rekindling inflation. Job growth is also stronger, while budget deficits are much smaller. This *Scenario* assumes stronger foreign growth boosts exports despite a stronger dollar. In addition to these key assumptions, oil prices are lower and construction is stronger.

These assumptions produce a rosier outlook than in the baseline. Although economic growth and job gains are stronger, inflation is actually lower than in the baseline thanks to the strong dollar and higher productivity gains. The lower inflation rate allows the Federal Reserve to be more accommodating than in the baseline. Real GDP rises 4.4% in 2004, 3.6% in 2005, 3.7% in 2006, 3.7% in 2007, and 3.5% in 2008. In the baseline, real GDP grows 4.4% in 2004, 3.2% in 2005, 3.0% in 2006, 3.1% in 2007, and 3.1% in 2008. In the *Optimistic Scenario*, nonfarm employment advances 1.1% in 2004, 1.9% in 2005, 1.5% in 2006, 1.1% in 2007, and 1.0% in 2008. The labor market's strength is also evident in the civilian unemployment rate, which declines from 5.5% to 5.2% over the forecast horizon. In contrast, the unemployment rate gradually increases over the forecast period in the baseline case. Nonfarm employment grows 1.0% in 2004, 1.7% in 2005, 1.2% in 2006, 0.8% in 2007, and 0.8% in 2008.

The higher U.S. productivity presents a mixed outlook for Idaho. Specifically, total nonfarm employment advances slightly faster than in the baseline this year and next, but grows slower than the baseline in 2007 and 2008. The cumulative result is Idaho nonfarm employment in the *Optimistic Scenario* is slightly lower But this is not the case for all Idaho employment sectors. As the table shows, Idaho goods-producing employment actually remains stable in the *Optimistic Scenario*. It declines steadily in the *Baseline Scenario*. The nongoods-producing sector is not as well off. Its employment does grow over the forecast period, but it lags its baseline counterpart. The largest differences between the *Optimistic* and *Baseline* scenarios lie with Idaho personal income. Both nominal and real personal incomes grow slower over the forecast period than in the baseline. This occurs because the higher productivity dampens unit labor cost, which lowers Idaho wage growth. The lower wage growth has a cascading effect on several components of Idaho personal income.

## IDAHO ECONOMIC FORECAST BASELINE AND ALTERNATIVE FORECASTS JANUARY 2005

	DAGE INE			•	OPTIMISTIC				DECOMMENTA			
	2005	BASELINE 2006	2007	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008	2005	ESSIMISTI 2006	2007	2008
GDP (BILLIONS)												
Current \$	12,352	12,948	13,584	14,275	12,371	12,998	13,667	14,382	12,326	12,900	13,605	14,380
% Ch	5.3%	4.8%	4.9%	5.1%	5.5%	5.1%	5.1%	5.2%	5.1%	4.7%	5.5%	5.7%
2000 Chain-Weighted	11,187	11,524	11,884	12,254	11,231	11,646	12,074	12,499	11,146	11,378	11,679	11,975
% Ch	3.2%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.6%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%	2.9%	2.1%	2.6%	2.5%
PERSONAL INCOME - CURR \$												
Idaho (Millions)	38.919	41.042	43.139	45,459	38,718	40,438	42,089	43,942	39,110	41,656	44,470	47,660
% Ch	5.1%	5.5%	5.1%	5.4%	4.6%	4.4%	4.1%	4.4%	5.6%	6.5%	6.8%	7.2%
U.S. (Billions)	10,109	10,657	11,209	11,826	10,111	10,665	11,222	11,832	10,112	10,670	11,317	12,045
% Ch	4.9%	5.4%	5.2%	5.5%	4.9%	5.5%	5.2%	5.4%	4.9%	5.5%	6.1%	6.4%
PERSONAL INCOME - 2000 \$	05.400	00.700	07.047	00.405	05.400	00.500	07.407	00.447	05.440	00.740	00.400	00.044
Idaho (Millions)	35,430	36,788	37,947	39,165	35,409	36,566	37,467	38,417	35,442	36,716	38,120	39,644
% Ch	3.2%	3.8%	3.2%	3.2%	3.1%	3.3% 9,644	2.5%	2.5%	3.2%	3.6%	3.8%	4.0%
U.S. (Billions) % Ch	9,203 2.9%	9,552 3.8%	9,860 3.2%	10,189 3.3%	9,247 3.4%	9,644 4.3%	9,990 3.6%	10,344 3.5%	9,164 2.5%	9,404 2.6%	9,701 3.2%	10,019 3.3%
76 GH	2.370	3.070	3.2 /0	3.370	3.470	4.570	3.070	3.070	2.576	2.076	3.2 /0	3.370
TOTAL NONFARM EMPLOYMENT												
Idaho	596,683	607,474	,	,		608,017	,	,	596,706	605,189	614,577	625,003
% Ch	1.6%	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%	1.8%	1.7%	1.5%	1.6%	1.4%	1.6%	1.7%
U.S. (Thousands)	133,501		136,200		133,866		137,408		133,339	134,279	135,094	136,118
% Ch	1.7%	1.2%	0.8%	0.8%	1.9%	1.5%	1.1%	1.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.6%	0.8%
GOODS-PRODUCING SECTOR	404.005	400.000	400.000	400.475	404.007	404.000	404.000	404.040	404 700	400.040	404 400	400.000
Idaho		103,636	,	,		,		,	104,729	102,949	101,432	100,080
% Ch	-0.1%	-0.9%	-0.7%	-0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	-1.7%	-1.5%	-1.3%
U.S. (Thousands) % Ch	22,135 1.2%	22,174 0.2%	22,120 -0.2%	22,106 -0.1%	22,180 1.4%	22,405 1.0%	22,490 0.4%	22,509 <i>0</i> .1%	22,126 1.1%	21,972 -0.7%	21,661 <i>-1.4%</i>	21,459 <i>-0.9%</i>
78 CII	1.2 /0	0.2 /6	-0.2 //	-0.176	1.470	1.0%	0.4%	0.176	1.170	-0.776	-1.470	-0.9%
NONGOODS-PRODUCING SECTOR	100.07	F00 00=	F4F 0=-	E05.010	400 0==	F00 0 /=	E40.0==	F00 000	404.0==	E00.245	E40 445	504.005
Idaho		503,837				503,047		,		502,240	513,145	524,923
% Ch	2.0%	2.4%	2.3%	2.1%	2.0%	2.2% 113,458	2.1%	1.9%	2.0%	2.1%	2.2% 113,433	2.3%
U.S. (Thousands) % Ch	1.8%	112,884 <i>1.4</i> %	1.1%	1.0%	2.0%	1.6%	1.3%	1 1%	1.6%	112,307 1.0%	1.0%	114,659 1.1%
70 GII	1.076	1.470	1.170	1.078	2.076	1.070	1.576	1.170	1.078	1.078	1.078	1.176
SELECTED INTEREST RATES												
Federal Funds	2.6%	3.4%	3.5%	3.9%	2.4%	3.1%	3.3%	3.4%	3.4%	5.3%	7.1%	7.4%
Bank Prime	5.6%	5.4% 6.4%	6.5%	3.9% 6.9%	5.4%	6.1%	6.3%	3.4% 6.4%	5.4% 6.4%	5.3% 8.3%	10.1%	7.4% 10.4%
Existing Home Mortgage	6.2%	6.6%	6.8%	7.3%	5.4%	6.2%	6.3%	6.6%	6.6%	8.0%	9.1%	9.4%
- Albumy Frome Mortgage	J.L /0	0.070	0.070	7.070	0.576	J.Z /0	5.576	0.070	0.078	0.070	5.170	5.470
INFLATION												
GDP Price Deflator	2.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.9%	1.7%	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%	2.1%	2.5%	2.7%	3.1%
Personal Cons Deflator	1.9%	1.6%	1.9%	2.1%	1.7%	1.3%	1.4%	1.7%	2.4%	2.8%	2.8%	3.1%
Consumer Price Index	2.2%	1.3%	1.7%	1.9%	1.7%	0.9%	1.4%	1.6%	2.6%	2.5%	2.5%	2.8%

## PESSIMISTIC SCENARIO

The *Pessimistic Scenario* has also been assigned 20% probability of occurrence. A significant feature of the *Pessimistic Scenario* is the re-emergence of inflation. The pickup of inflation reflects higher oil prices, a weaker dollar, two-and-one half years of accommodative monetary policy, and loose fiscal policy. In addition, higher prices are fueled by the ability of businesses to pass more of their costs to their customers. Two other factors cause prices to rise faster than in the baseline. There may actually be less spare manufacturing capacity than is currently believed, since rapid technological advances may have rendered obsolete much of the idle capacity the Federal Reserve has been including in its estimates of capacity. Global growth is hampered by the anti-growth regulatory and social policies in Europe. Consumer prices rise 2.7% in 2004, 2.6% in 2005, 2.5% in 2006, 2.5% in 2007, and 2.8% in 2008. The Federal Reserve responds to the higher inflation by quickening the pace of its tightening. By the end of 2005, the federal funds rate is at 4.5%. The federal funds rate eventually peaks at 7.5%. Between the higher interest rates and persistently high-energy prices, consumer confidence retreats and consumers rein in their discretionary spending.

The economy does not sink into a recession in this scenario, but merely fails to come as close to its potential. Real GDP is one-half percentage point lower in 2005 and 2006 than its baseline counterparts. As mentioned, inflation is higher, despite the weaker aggregate economy. Nonfarm employment grows slower than in the baseline. As a result, the unemployment rate climbs from about 5.5% in 2005 to over 6.0% in middle of 2006, where it remains until the second half of 2008.

In this *Scenario*, the outlook for Idaho employment is lower than in the *Baseline Scenario*, but the personal income forecast is stronger. Specifically, Idaho nonfarm employment advances 1.6% in 2005, 1.4% in 2006, 1.6% in 2007, and 1.7% in 2008. In this *Scenario*, Idaho goods-producing employment shrinks nearly twice as fast as in the baseline. As a result, there are about 2,100 fewer goods-producing jobs in this scenario compared to the baseline. This being the case, the nongoods-producing sector is the engine for job growth. However, it advances slower than it does in the baseline. Interestingly, Idaho nominal personal income increases faster than its baseline counterpart, due in large part to the higher predicted inflation.